

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12282 of 2018**

=====

Pankaj Kumar S/o Baban Singh R/o Village- Shobhi Tola, P.O.- Shahpur, P.S.  
Shahpur, District- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Bihar School Examination Board through its Secretary Budha Marg, Patna-1
3. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board, Bihar, Budh Marg, Patna
4. Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Bihar, Budha Marg, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                           |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. None                  |
| For the BSEB         | : | Mr. Satyabir Bharti, Adv. |
| For the State        | : | Mr.S.C.Mishra -Sc16       |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH  
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

2      30-08-2022                      1. No one appears for the petitioner even in the second round.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents Board has taken the Court through the prayer made by the petitioner, wherein the petitioner has prayed for reducing the qualifying marks, as 28 wrong questions out of total 150 were deleted.

3. The issue stands already adjudicated by the Coordinate Bench in the case of Ashoke Krantti & Ors.Vrs. State of Bihar through Chief Secretary & Ors. reported in 2019 (3) PLJR 826, wherein the Court has examined the similar issue relating to T. E.T, 2017.

4. I have considered the submission and find that the



Coordinate Court has extensively examined the question relating to awarding of marks after revising the answer key and dismissed all the petitions. The Teachers Eligibility Test, 2017 stands concluded.

5. If the questions are deleted, the total maximum marks for the paper remain the same and proportionately the remaining questions would be awarding maximum marks so that the candidates, who have appeared in the remaining questions would be granted marks equally and thereafter the assessment would be done with relation to the minimum percentage which a person is required to obtain for clearing the test. Thus, the contentions is baseless.

6. Keeping in view, thereto, the question raised by the petitioner of proportionately decreasing the passing marks is found to be without any force.

7. In view thereof, no case for interference is made out.

8. The writ petition is found to be devoid of merits and is accordingly dismissed.

**(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)**

Pravinkumar/-

Item No. 26

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

