

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9995 of 2018

=====

Sujeet Kumar, Son of Shri Ashok Prasad, Resident of Village- Lohrajpur,
Police Station- Katrisarai, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Mines and Geology Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Under Secretary to the Govt. Mines and Geology Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Special Secretary-Cum- Director, Mines and Geology Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr.Ram Sagar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondents	:	Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha, GA7
		Mr.Yash Mathur, AC to GA7
		Mr.Naresh Dixit, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 05-09-2022 By way of the instant writ application the petitioner seeks quashing of the letter dated 12.04.2018 (Annexure-1) issued by the Under Secretary to the Government of Bihar in the Mines and Mineral Department.

It is apparent from the averments in the writ petition as well as the annexures that the petitioner was not an employee of the Mines and Mineral Department. In fact Bihar State Electronics Development Corporation Limited (Corporation for short) had deputed the petitioner in the Mines and Mineral Department as a Computer Operator/Data Entry Operator. Bare perusal of Annexure-1 shows that by the same the petitioner's



services have been returned to the Corporation by the impugned communication dated 12.04.2018. From these facts, which is apparent from the records, this Court finds that the prayer of the petitioner for quashing of the impugned communication dated 12.04.2018 is not based on any subsisting right to continue to work in the Mines and Mineral Department, which can be enforced by way of a writ proceedings. The petitioner's services have merely been returned to the agency which had deputed the petitioner's services to the Mines and Mineral Department. Therefore, grievance regarding returning of petitioner's services is baseless, much less assailable by the petitioner by way of writ proceedings.

Writ application is devoid of substance and dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

shashank/-

U			
---	--	--	--

