

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.16 of 2020

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23510 of 2019

Sunil Kumar Agrawal, S/O Sri. Shyam Sundar Churiwala and grandson of Sri. Subh Karan Churiwala, Resident of Dumradaga Sainik Colony, P.O.- Booty, P.S.- Kotwali, District- Ranchi (Jharkhand) PIN CODE- 835217 and permanent resident of R.B.D.P.D. Lane, Sujagunj, P.O. - Sujagunj, P.S. Kotwali, District- Bhagalpur(Bihar)

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Commissioner -Cum- Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Lands Reforms, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariate, Patna(Bihar), Pin code - 800015
3. The Commissioner, Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur (Bihar), Pin code - 80
4. The Collector -Cum- District Magistrate, Banka, Bihar, Pin Code - 813102.
5. The Additional Collector, Banka, District- Banka (Bihar), Pin Code - 813102
6. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Banka, District- Banka (Bihar), Pin Code - 813102
7. The Circle Officer, Katoria, P.O. and P.S.- Katoria, District- Banka (Bihar), Pin code - 813106
8. The Circle Officer, Chandan, P.O. and P.S.- Chandan, District- Banka (Bihar), Pin code - 814131
9. The Circle Officer, Fulidumar, P.O. and P.S.- Fulidumar, District- Banka (Bihar), Pin code - 813207

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR)

Date : 18-07-2022

Heard Mr. Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the
appellant, who has challenged the order dated 05.12.2019,



passed in C.W.J.C. No. 23510 of 2019, whereby his request for a direction to the respondents to digitize the revenue records as per the existing manual with particular reference to Jamabandi No. 50 in Katoria Anchal of District-Banka was rejected.

The learned Single Judge has rightly observed that this falls in the domain of the administrative work of the respondents, for which the appellant was not able to show any cause for his writ petition to be entertained.

However, on the statement made by the counsel for the appellant that that request made to the learned Single Judge to issue direction to the concerned respondent to dispose of his already pending representation was not taken into account; we, while disposing of this appeal, direct that in case such representation, as has been referred to by the learned counsel for the appellant, is moved before the concerned authority, it shall be adverted to and a reasoned order shall be passed.

The appeal stands disposed of with the aforesaid direction.



Needless, to say that we have expressed no opinion
regarding the merits of the case of the appellant.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

ashishkr/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	22-07-2022
Transmission Date	N/A

