

\$~S-59to85

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
**59**

+ **W.P.(C) 1205/2019 & CM APPL. 5947/2019**  
**AMIT KUMAR** ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

**UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.** ..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Chiranjeev Kumar,  
Advocate with Mr. Mukesh  
Sachdeva for R-1.  
Mr. Jatin Puniyani, Advocate.  
Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

**60**

+ **W.P.(C) 1708/2019 & CM APPL. 7835/2019**  
**SATISH KUMAR KOUNDIL** ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

**UNION OF INDIA & ORS** ..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Satyendra Kumar,  
Advocate with Mr. Amit Sinha,  
Advocate for R-1.  
Mr. Jatin Puniyani, Advocate.  
Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

**61**

+ **W.P.(C) 9451/2019**  
**PRABHAT SINGH** ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate

versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Jatin Puniyani, Advocate.  
Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

62

+ **W.P.(C) 10147/2019**  
GOVIND KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Jatin Puniyani, Advocate.  
Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

63

+ **W.P.(C) 10149/2019 & CM APPL. 41943/2019**  
SAROJ KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

64

+ **W.P.(C) 10151/2019**  
  
PRABHASH KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

**65**

+ **W.P.(C) 10152/2019**  
ASHOK KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

**66**

+ **W.P.(C) 10153/2019 & CM APPL. 41963/2019**  
HARISHV KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

**67**

+ **W.P.(C) 10154/2019 & CM APPL. 41966/2019**  
VIKASH KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

68

+ **W.P.(C) 10155/2019 & CM APPL. 41973/2019**  
GAURAV KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate

versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

69

+ **W.P.(C) 10157/2019**  
JEETENDRA KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate

versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

70

+ **W.P.(C) 10159/2019**  
PAWAN RANJAN ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate

versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

71

+ **W.P.(C) 10161/2019**  
DEVENDRA KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate

versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

72

+ **W.P.(C) 10163/2019**

VIVEK RAJ ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

73

+ **W.P.(C) 10164/2019**

KULDEEP KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

74

+ **W.P.(C) 10165/2019**

SURAJ KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

75

+ **W.P.(C) 10166/2019**  
**SAGAR KUMAR**

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

**UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION** ..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

76

+ **W.P.(C) 10167/2019**  
**ANKIT KUMAR**

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

**UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION** ..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

77

+ **W.P.(C) 10168/2019 & CM APPL. 42010/2019**  
**RANVIR KUMAR**

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

**UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION** ..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

78

+ **W.P.(C) 10182/2019**  
**PAWAN KUMAR**

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

79

+ **W.P.(C) 10184/2019**  
SUMAN KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

80

+ **W.P.(C) 10186/2019 & CM APPL. 42056/2019**  
MANISH KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

81

+ **W.P.(C) 10187/2019**  
VIVEK KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.



82

+ **W.P.(C) 10220/2019 & CM APPL. 42139/2019**  
ABHISHEK KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

83

+ **W.P.(C) 10240/2019**  
RAHUL KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

84

+ **W.P.(C) 10253/2019**  
RISHAV KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate  
with Mr. Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates.

85

+ **W.P.(C) 10258/2019**  
VIKASH KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Tarun, Advocate  
versus



UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ..... Respondent  
Through: Mr. Naresh  
Kaushik, Advocate with Mr.  
Anand Singh and Mr.  
Abhishek Verma, Advocates

%

*Date of Decision: 22<sup>nd</sup> September, 2021*

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA**

**MANMOHAN, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitions have been heard by way of video conferencing.
2. Present writ petitions have been filed primarily challenging the impugned orders dated 09<sup>th</sup> November, 2018 passed by the UPSC cancelling the candidature of the Petitioners for National Defence Academy and Naval Academy Examination (II), 2018 and debarring the Petitioners permanently from appearing in all the future examinations/selections to be held by UPSC.
3. Learned counsel for the Petitioners states that the Petitioners had applied for the NDA Examination and were issued admit cards for the examination. He states that since mobile phones were not allowed in the examination hall, the Petitioners deposited their phones with the authorities. He points out that on the day of the NDA examination, a candidate by the name of Saurav Anand was arrested in Bihar for cheating through a mobile phone during the course of the examination.
4. He states that ten days after taking the exam, the Petitioners received show cause notices from UPSC regarding failure to report activities of the candidate Saurav Anand who was caught cheating red

handed in the State of Bihar.

5. He emphasises that a perusal of the show cause notices reveals that the allegation against the Petitioners were that the Petitioners failed to report beforehand about the activities of Saurav Anand on the WhatsApp group. He contends that the show cause notices contain neither any allegation of cheating against the Petitioners nor an allegation that the Petitioners were in possession of 'mobile phone' or were communicating with Mr. Saurav Anand on the WhatsApp group.

6. He states that vide impugned orders UPSC cancelled the candidature of the Petitioners for National Defence Academy and Naval Academy Examination (II), 2018 and debarred the Petitioners permanently from appearing in all the future examinations/selections to be held by UPSC

7. Today, Mr. Naresh Kaushik, learned counsel for UPSC states that UPSC has issued orders dated 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2021 to all the petitioners wherein it has converted the permanent debarment period to the actual period of debarment already undergone uptill now. He submits that the above orders have been issued as no criminal action has yet been established against the petitioners by Bihar Police and as the petitioners are of a young age and have a long future before them. The order dated 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2021 issued to one of the petitioners is reproduced hereinbelow for ready reference:-

**"By Speed Post**

**No . 1/8(151/202 1/E-XII**

**Union Public Service Commission**  
**(Examination Branch)**

\*\*\*\*\*

Dholpur House, Shahjahan Road,  
New Delhi-110069  
**Dated: 15/09/2021**

**ORDER**

*That a cheating incident took place at the Patna Centre during conduct of the National Defence Academy/Naval Academy Examination (II), 2018, which was held on 09.09.2018. In this case, Shri Saurav Anand (the prime offender) was caught while using his mobile phone for cheating during currency of the Second Session (Paper-II) of the aforesaid Examination. In his undertaking, Shri Saurav Anand had stated that he used the mobile in both the Papers of the Examination. He further stated that he also transmitted questions through WhatsApp, to his friend and got back the answers. He also added that thereafter, his said friend Shri Jyotish Kumar Das transmitted the questions in the WhatsApp Group named Berojgartoliofficiall118.*

*2. That since Shri Amit Kumar was also the member of this WhatsApp Group, a Show Cause Notice was issued to him to explain his position as he was found to have acted in contravention of the relevant provisions of Rule 5 of the NDA & NA Examination (II), 2018 Rules. The Commission found him guilty of violating the aforesaid provisions of the Examination Rules and, therefore, cancelled his candidature for this Examination and debarred him permanently from appearing at all the future Examinations/Selections of the Commission vide letter dated 9<sup>th</sup> November, 2018. In addition to above candidate, the Commission imposed the aforesaid penalty upon 53 more candidates.*

*3. That aggrieved with above decision of the Commission, some of these candidates filed court cases in the Hon'ble High Courts of Delhi, Bihar and Jharkhand. Shri Raj Aryan is one of the aforementioned candidates and he had filed W.P. (C) No.279/2019 in the Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand. The Hon'ble High Court vide its common judgment dated 03<sup>rd</sup> September, 2019 in the above WP*

*(along with two tagged Writ Petitions i.e. WP (C) No. 3068/2019 of Maniratan Kumar and WP (C) No. 3059/2019 of Anupam Kumar Singh) directed to take a fresh decision by passing a Speaking Order.*

*4. That in deference to the above direction of the Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand, the Commission re-examined the cases of the said three candidates and decided to reduce their penalty of permanent debarment to 10 years w.e.f. their initial debarment. Again being not satisfied with this fresh decision of the Commission, Shri Raj Aryan filed a new Writ Petition (C) No.202/2020 before the Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand.*

*5. That in view of the relevant facts of Shri Raj Aryan, the whole matter has been considered afresh once again in the Commission and it has been noted that nearly 3 years period has since been elapsed after the imposition of the penalty upon him in November, 2018; no criminal action has yet been established against him by the Bihar Police; he is of very young age and has a long future before him.*

*6. That after taking the comprehensive and holistic view of all the relevant facts of the case of Shri Raj Aryan, the Commission has decided to convert the 10 years' debarment period of Shri Raj Aryan and reduce it to the actual period of debarment he has already undergone uptill now since November, 2018. Consequently, his debarment period stands expended and treated to be over by the date of issuance of the Commission's order i.e. 03.09.2021.*

*7. Since the case of Shri Amit Kumar is similarly placed as that of Shri Raj Aryan and to extend the benefit of equality and parity to all similarly situated candidates, including the facts stated in Para 5 above, the Commission has suo moto taken the lenient view and the Commission **has decided to convert the permanent debarment period of Shri Amit Kumar (from appearing in all the Examinations/Selections of the Commission) by reducing the same to the actual period of debarment which he has already undergone uptill now, since November, 2018.***

*Consequently , his debarment period stands expended and would be over by the date of issuance of this order.*

*Sd/-*

*(Kripa Anna Ekka)*

*Under Secretary (NDA)*

*Union Public Service Commission*

*Shri Amit Kumar*

*Email:smartrajgupta009@gmail.com*

*S/o Shri Ashok Rana*

*Jhumra Muhalla Chaitra,*

*Chaitra -825401”*

8. Keeping in view the aforesaid order dated 15th September, 2021, the prayer in the present writ petition challenging the permanent debarment has become infructuous.

9. Accordingly, the present writ petitions and applications stand disposed of as satisfied.

10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith. Copy of the order be also forwarded to the learned counsel through e-mail.

**MANMOHAN, J**

**NAVIN CHAWLA, J**

**SEPTEMBER 22, 2021**

**TS**