

\$~8(original side)

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

**Decided on 21<sup>st</sup> January, 2021**

+ **ARB.P. 321/2020**

**SAINI ELECTRICAL WORKS** ..... Petitioner  
Through **Mr. Anil Sehrawat, Adv.**

versus

**PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT** ..... Respondent  
Through **Mr. Devesh Singh, ASC (Civil)**  
**with Ms. Sukriti Ghai and Mr.**  
**Manas Bhatnagar, Adv. for**  
**GNCTD**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C .HARI SHANKAR**

**ORDER (ORAL)**

%

**21.01.2021**

**(Video-Conferencing)**

**C .HARI SHANKAR, J.**

**ARB.P. 321/2020**

1. On the attention of Mr. Sehrawat, learned counsel for the petitioner, being drawn to the fact that the protocol prescribed in Clause 25 of the Agreement between the parties has not been strictly complied with and that, therefore, invocation of Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 at this stage may not be permissible, Mr. Sehrawat seeks leave to withdraw this petition, with liberty to re-approach the Court after following the protocol prescribed in Clause 25 of the Agreement.

**ARB.P. 321/2020**

***Page 1 of 2***

2. Leave and liberty is granted as prayed for.
3. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

**C. HARI SHANKAR, J**

**JANUARY 21, 2021**  
*r.bararia*

