

\$~Suppl.-29, 30, 31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

29.

+ **W.P. (C) 2358/2020**

YASHWANT KUMAR PATHAK

.....Petitioner

Through: Manoj Kumar Gupta, Advocate.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Jaswinder Singh, Advocate.

30.

+ **W.P. (C) 2457/2020**

RUPI REDDY RAJI REDDY

.....Petitioner

Through: Manoj Kumar Gupta, Advocate.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ripudaman Bhardwaj, CGSC
for Respondent UOI with Kushagra
Kumar, Advocate.

31

+ **W.P. (C) 2871/2020**

VINAY KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Manoj Kumar Gupta, Advocate.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Jaswinder Singh, Advocate.

%

Date of Decision: 22nd January, 2021

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ASHA MENON

J U D G M E N T

MANMOHAN, J (Oral):

C.M.No.2417/2021 in W.P.(C) No.2358/2020

C.M.No.2426/2021 in W.P.(C) No.2457/2020

C.M.No.2422/2021 in W.P.(C) No.2871/2020

Keeping in view the averments in the applications, early hearing is allowed and with consent of parties, the matters are taken up for hearing today.

Accordingly, the applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) No.2358/2020

W.P.(C) No.2457/2020

W.P.(C) No.2871/2020

1. The petitions have been heard by way of video conferencing.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners in these petitions claim to be similarly placed to the petitioners in ***Brijlal Kumar v. Union of India and others connected petitions 2020 SCC OnLine Del 1477*** and the petitioners in ***Govind Kumar Srivastava v. Union of India 2019 SCC OnLine Del 6425 (DB)*** [against which Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 8813/2019 has been dismissed on 26th April, 2019] and seek the same relief as claimed therein i.e. of pro rata pension.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners in these petitions, on enquiry, states that the requisite No Objection Certificates (NOC) had been given.
4. Learned counsel for the respondents fairly states that subject to the right to verification and the right of appeal to the Supreme Court against the judgment in ***Brijlal Kumar*** (supra) being saved, the petitions be disposed of.

5. Accordingly, the petitions are disposed of directing the respondents Indian Air Force that within twelve weeks herefrom, if they find the petitioners to be similarly placed as the petitioners in ***Govind Kumar Srivastava*** (supra) and ***Brijlal Kumar*** (supra) and other connected petitions supra, to grant them the same relief as granted in those petitions i.e. by payment of arrears of pro rata pension from the date of discharge till the date of payment and in future to continue to pay pro rata pension to the petitioners. However, if on verification it is found that the petitioners, for any reason, are not entitled to pro rata pension for reasons other than those stated in the judgments in ***Govind Kumar Srivastava*** (supra) and ***Brijlal Kumar*** (supra) and other connected petitions supra being in *personam*, the respondents, within the said twelve weeks, shall communicate to the petitioners, not so found entitled, the reasons in writing thereof and in which event, the petitioners shall be entitled to take further remedies there against.
6. If the arrears of pro rata pension are not paid within twelve weeks, the same shall also incur interest thereon @ 7% per annum from the expiry of twelve weeks till the date of payment.
7. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith. Copy of the order be also forwarded to the learned counsel through e-mail.

MANMOHAN, J

ASHA MENON, J

**JANUARY 22, 2021
KA**