

§~27, 28 & 31.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2364/2021**

ICICI BANK LTD

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Puneet Kumar Bhalla, Advocate.

versus

NARULA CORPORATE RELOCATION
SERVICES PVT LTD & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through:

+ **W.P.(C) 2365/2021**

ICICI BANK LTD

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Puneet Kumar Bhalla, Advocate.

versus

GUDDU & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through:

+ **W.P.(C) 2405/2021**

ICICI BANK LTD

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Puneet Kumar Bhalla, Advocate.

versus

SACHIN YADAV & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

%

ORDER
22.02.2021

C.M. No.6904/2021, 6907/2021 & 7003/2021


Exemptions allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) 2364/2021, 2365/2021 & 2405/2021

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner does not press these petitions and, therefore, seeks leave to withdraw the same. He, however, submits that the costs imposed by the DRAT are excessive and, therefore, prays that the same may be reduced.

While dismissing the petitions as withdrawn, the costs imposed upon the petitioner are reduced from Rs.50,000/- to Rs.10,000/- in each case. The costs be deposited within four weeks from today.


VIPIN SANGHI, J

REKHA PALLI, J

FEBRUARY 22, 2021
B.S. Rohella