

\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

Date of decision: 03.02.2021

+ **CRL.M.C. 318/2021 & CrI.M.A. 1619/2021**

SH JAHID AND ORS. Petitioners

Through: Mr. Arpit Bhalla, Advocate

Versus

STATE (GOVT NCT OF DELHI) Respondents

Through: Mr. Panna Lal Sharma,
Additional Public Prosecutor for
respondent No.1/State with
Investigating Officer (name not
given)
Respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

J U D G M E N T (O R A L)

The hearing has been conducted through video conferencing.

1. Vide this petition, quashing of FIR No. 160/2013, under Sections 323/341/427/435/308/506/34 IPC, registered at police station Pandav Nagar, Delhi is sought by petitioners.
2. Notice issued.
3. Mr. Panna Lal Sharma, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent No.1/State accepts notice and submits respondents No.2,3

and 5 to 7 are present through video conference and they have been identified by the Investigating Officer of this case, who is also present through video conferencing. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for State further submits that respondent No.4-*Neeraj* is not present as he is in judicial custody in some other case registered at police station Pandav Nagar, Delhi.

4. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is taken up for final hearing and disposal.

5. The present petition has been filed on the ground that with the intervention of common friends and well wishers, the dispute between the parties has been resolved in terms of Compromise Deed dated 17.10.2020.

6. Respondents No. 2, 3 and 5 to 7 are present through video conferencing and have affirmed the factum of settlement with petitioners in terms of Compromise Deed dated 17.10.2020 and they submit that they do not wish to prosecute the matter any further. This petition is accompanied by affidavits of respondents No. 2,3 and 5 to 7 as well as respondent No.4- *Neeraj (attested by Jail Superintendent)*.

7. Keeping in view that the dispute between the parties has been

amicably resolved, this Court is inclined to quash the FIR in question, as no useful purpose would be served in continuing with the proceedings arising out of the present FIR.

8. For the reasons afore-noted, FIR No. 160/2013, under Sections 323/341/427/435/308/506/34 IPC, registered at police station Pandav Nagar, Delhi and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

9. The petition is, accordingly, allowed and disposed of.

10. Pending application also stands disposed of.

11. The order be uploaded on the website of this Court forthwith.

(SURESH KUMAR KAIT)
JUDGE

FEBRUARY 03, 2021

r