

\$~Suppl.- 19-21, 23-25 & 37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
19

+ W.P. (C) 1695/2021, CM APPL. 4857/2021

ASI GD PREM SINGHPetitioner
Through: Mr. O.P. Aggarwal, Advocate

Versus

UOI AND OTHERRespondents
Through: Rajesh Gogna CGSC and Mr. Karan
Chhibber Advocates

20.

+ W.P. (C) 1701/2021, CM APPL. 4898/2021

SI/GD YESHPAL SINGHPetitioner
Through: Mr. O.P. Aggarwal, Advocate

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Vikram Jetly CGSC with
Mr. Jitendra Kumar Tripathi Advocate
for respondents /UOI

21

+ W.P. (C) 1703/2021, CM APPL. 4900/2021

EX CT GD KAPTAN SINGHPetitioner
Through: Mr. O.P. Aggarwal, Advocate

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents
Through: Mr. Vikram Jetly CGSC with
Mr. Jitendra Kumar Tripathi Advocate
for respondents /UOI

23

+ W.P. (C) 1717/2021, CM APPL. 4960/2021

ASI GD NARENDRA SINGHPetitioner
Through: Mr. O.P. Aggarwal, Advocate

Versus

UOI AND OTHERRespondents
Through: Rajesh Gogna CGSC and Mr. Karan
Chhibber Advocates

24.

+ W.P. (C) 1719/2021, CM APPL. 4962/2021

ASI GD ANAND SINGHPetitioner
Through: Mr. O.P. Aggarwal, Advocate

Versus

UOI AND OTHERRespondents
Through: Ms Bharathi Raju CGSC For
Respondents UOI with Vinny
Shangloo, Govt Pleader

25.

+ W.P. (C) 1722/2021, CM APPL. 4969/2021

SI GD AMIN CHANDPetitioner
Through: Mr. O.P. Aggarwal, Advocate

Versus

UOI AND OTHERRespondents
Through: Ms Bharathi Raju CGSC For
Respondents UOI with Vinny
Shangloo, Govt Pleader

37.

+ W.P. (C) 1743/2021, CM APPL. 5039/2021

SI GD HIRA SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. O.P. Aggarwal, Advocate
Versus

UOI AND OTHER

.....Respondents

Through: Ms Bharathi Raju CGSC For
Respondents UOI with Vinny
Shangloo, Govt Pleader

%

Date of Decision: 9th February, 2021

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ASHA MENON

J U D G M E N T

MANMOHAN, J (Oral):

19. CM APPL. 4857/2021 (Exemption) in W.P. (C) 1695/2021

20. CM APPL. 4898/2021 (Exemption) in W.P. (C) 1701/2021

21. CM APPL. 4900/2021 (Exemption) in W.P. (C) 1703/2021

23. CM APPL. 4960/2021 (Exemption) in W.P. (C) 1717/2021

24. CM APPL. 4962/2021 (Exemption) in W.P. (C) 1719/2021

25. CM APPL. 4969/2021 (Exemption) in W.P. (C) 1722/2021

37. CM APPL. 5039/2021 (Exemption) in W.P. (C) 1743/2021

Exemptions allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Applications stand disposed of.

W.P. (C) 1695/2021, W.P. (C) 1701/2021, W.P. (C) 1703/2021, W.P. (C) 1717/2021, W.P. (C) 1719/2021, W.P. (C) 1722/2021 & W.P. (C) No.1743/2021

1. The petitions have been heard by way of video conferencing.

2. Present batch of petitions have been filed seeking a number of prayers. However, learned counsel for the petitioners prays that a similar order as passed by a Division Bench in W.P.(C) No.6437/2019 dated 30th May, 2019 be passed in the present writ petitions. He clarifies that neither the judgment and order dated 30th May, 2019 in W.P.(C) No.6437/2019 nor the judgments referred to in the said order have been challenged before the Supreme Court by the respondents.

3. Issue notice.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents accept notice. Learned counsel for the respondents state that in similar matters, notices have been issued by the Supreme Court in the condonation of delay and Special Leave Petitions. They, however, candidly state that there is no stay in the said Special Leave Petitions.

5. It is pertinent to mention that the petitioners have preferred the present writ petitions to primarily seek a mandamus to the respondents to grant the benefit of the second financial upgradation under the MACP Scheme in the Pay Band of Rs.9300-34800 with Grade Pay of Rs.4200 w.e.f. 01st January, 2006 and wherever 20 years have been completed till the date the petitioners' voluntarily retired on 30th June, 2006 or the dates mentioned in the prayer clause along with consequential benefits including arrears. The petitioners' claim is based upon the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of ***Union of India and Ors. Vs. Balbir Singh Turn & Anr.***, Civil Appeal Diary No.3744/2016 along with other cases decided on 08th December, 2017. The petitioners also place reliance on the decision of the Division Bench of this Court in ***Sunil Kumar Tyagi vs. Union of India & Anr.***, W.P. (C) No.3549/2018 decided on 01st May, 2019.

6. As admittedly there is no interim order passed by the Supreme Court in any of the Special Leave Petitions filed by the Union of India in similar matters, we dispose of the present batch of writ petitions in similar terms as passed in W.P.(C) No.6437/2019 i.e. a direction to the respondents to consider the petitioners' claim in the light of the judgments in ***Union of India and Ors. Vs. Balbir Singh Turn & Anr. (supra)*** and ***Sunil Kumar Tyagi vs. Union of India & Anr (supra)*** as well as ***Union of India & Ors. vs. M.V. Mohanan Nair, (2020) 5 SCC 421*** and to dispose of the representations of the petitioners positively within twelve weeks from today. It is clarified that in the event the Supreme Court varies or set asides the order passed by the Division Bench in ***Sunil Kumar Tyagi vs. Union of India & Anr (supra)*** and/or any other similar matter, then the present order shall abide by the order(s) of the Apex Court.

7. With the aforesaid direction, the present batch of writ petitions along with pending applications stand disposed of.

8. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith. Copy of the order be also forwarded to the learned counsel through e-mail.

मान्यमेव जयते

MANMOHAN, J

ASHA MENON, J

FEBRUARY 09, 2021
PKB