

\$~Suppl.-37 to 40, 42, 45, 46, 49, 51, 53, 54 & 55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 303/2021 & CM APPL.779/2021**

E . HC GD RAJESH SINGH Petitioner

Through: Mr.Om Prakash Agarwal, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Respondents

Through: Mr.Vikram Jetly, CGSC and
Ms. Monika Arora, Advocate for
UOI.

+ **W.P.(C) 305/2021 & CM APPL.783/2021**

EX HC GD RATTAN LAL Petitioner

Through: Mr.Om Prakash Agarwal, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Respondents

Through Mr.Vikram Jetly, CGSC and
Ms. Monika Arora, Advocate for
UOI.

+ **W.P.(C) 306/2021 & CM APPL.784/2021**

EX HC GD RAJ KUMAR Petitioner

Through: Mr.Om Prakash Agarwal, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Respondents

Through: Mr.Vikram Jetly, CGSC and
Ms. Monika Arora, Advocate for
UOI.

+ **W.P.(C) 307/2021 & CM APPL.785/2021**

EX HC GD RAMBIR SINGH Petitioner

Through: Mr.Om Prakash Agarwal, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Respondents

Through: Mr.Vikram Jetly, CGSC and
Ms. Monika Arora, Advocate for
UOI.

+ **W.P.(C) 317/2021 & CM APPL.804/2021**

EX. H/GD ASHOK KUMAR Petitioner

Through: Mr.Om Prakash Agarwal, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS Respondents

Through: Mr.Vikram Jetly, CGSC and
Ms. Monika Arora, Advocate for
UOI.

+ **W.P.(C) 324/2021 & CM APPL.814/2021**

CT/GD KAPTAN SINGH Petitioner

Through: Mr.Om Prakash Agarwal, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Respondents

Through: Mr.Vikram Jetly, CGSC and
Ms. Monika Arora, Advocate for
UOI.

+ W.P.(C) 329/2021 & CM APPL.872/2021

EX HC GD RAMA NAND Petitioner
Through: Mr.Om Prakash Agarwal, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Respondents
Through: Mr.Vikram Jetly, CGSC and
Ms. Monika Arora, Advocate for
UOI.

+ **W.P.(C) 350/2021 & CM APPL.909/2021**

EX HC GD KESHAR SINGH Petitioner
Through: Mr.Om Prakash Agarwal, Advocate.

versus

UOI AND OTHERS Respondents
Through: Mr.Vikram Jetly, CGSC and
Ms. Monika Arora, Advocate for
UOI.

+ **W.P.(C) 352/2021 & CM APPL.912/2021**

EX HC GD SURESH CHAND RANA Petitioner
Through: Mr.Om Prakash Agarwal, Advocate.

versus

UOI AND OTHER Respondents
Through: Mr.Vikram Jetly, CGSC and
Ms. Monika Arora, Advocate for
UOI.

+ **W.P.(C) 361/2021 & CM APPL.962/2021**

EX HC GD JAI KISHAN SINGH RANA Petitioner
Through: Mr.Om Prakash Agarwal, Advocate.

versus

UOI AND OTHERS Respondents
Through: Mr.Vikram Jetly, CGSC and
Ms. Monika Arora, Advocate for
UOI.

+ **W.P.(C) 362/2021 & CM APPL.963/2021**

EX HC GD KUNWAR SINGH RANA Petitioner
Through: Mr.Om Prakash Agarwal, Advocate.

versus

UOI AND OTHER Respondents
Through: Ms.Monika Arora, Advocate and
Mr. Vikram Jetly, Advocate for UOI.

+ **W.P.(C) 365/2021 & CM APPL.968/2021**

EX HC GD RAMDHARI SINGH Petitioner
Through: Mr.Om Prakash Agarwal, Advocate.

versus

UOI AND OTHERS Respondents
Through: Mr.Vikram Jetly, CGSC and
Ms. Monika Arora, Advocate for
UOI.

%

Date of Decision: 11th January, 2021

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ASHA MENON

J U D G M E N T

MANMOHAN, J (Oral):

1. The petition has been heard by way of video conferencing
2. Present batch of petitions have been filed seeking a number of prayers. However, learned counsel for the petitioners prays that a similar order as passed by a Division Bench in W.P.(C) No.6437/2019 dated 30th May, 2019 be passed in the present writ petitions. He clarifies that neither the judgment and order dated 30th May, 2019 in W.P.(C) No.6437/2019 nor the judgments referred to in the said order have been challenged before the Supreme Court by the respondents.
3. Issue notice.
4. Learned counsel for the respondents accept notice. Learned counsel for the respondents state that in similar matters, notices have been issued by the Supreme Court in the condonation of delay and special leave petitions. They, however, candidly state that there is no stay in the said special leave petitions.
5. It is pertinent to mention that the petitioners have preferred the present writ petitions to primarily seek a mandamus to the respondents to grant the benefit of the second financial upgradation under the MACP Scheme in the Pay Band of Rs.9300-34800 with Grade Pay of Rs.4200 w.e.f. 01st January, 2006 and wherever 20 years have been completed till the date the petitioners' voluntarily retired on 30th June, 2006 or the dates mentioned in the prayer clause along with consequential benefits including arrears. The petitioners' claim is based upon the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of ***Union of India and Ors. Vs. Balbir Singh Turn & Anr.***, Civil Appeal Diary No.3744/2016 along with other cases decided on 08th December, 2017. The petitioners also place reliance on the decision of

the Division Bench of this Court in ***Sunil Kumar Tyagi vs. Union of India & Anr.***, W.P. (C) No.3549/2018 decided on 01st May, 2019.

6. As admittedly there is no interim order passed by the Supreme Court in any of the special leave petitions filed by the Union of India in similar matters, we dispose of the present batch of writ petitions in similar terms as passed in W.P.(C) No.6437/2019 i.e. a direction to the respondents to consider the petitioners' claim in the light of the judgments in ***Union of India and Ors. Vs. Balbir Singh Turn & Anr. (supra)*** and ***Sunil Kumar Tyagi vs. Union of India & Anr (supra)*** and to dispose of the representations of the petitioners positively within twelve weeks from today. It is clarified that in the event the Supreme Court varies or set asides the order passed by the Division Bench in ***Sunil Kumar Tyagi vs. Union of India & Anr (supra)*** and/or any other similar matter, then the present order shall abide by the order(s) of the Apex Court.

7. With the aforesaid direction, the present batch of writ petitions along with pending applications stand disposed of.

8. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith. Copy of the order be also forwarded to the learned counsel through e-mail.

MANMOHAN, J

ASHA MENON, J

JANUARY 11, 2021

KA