

201(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-55653-2019

Date of decision-06.07.2021

HARPREET SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Prabhjot Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.95 dated 07.12.2019 under Sections 323, 325, 379-B, 341, 427, 506, 148 & 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station City Banga, District SBS Nagar. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 30.12.2019, whereby, while issuing notice of motion to respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon orders passed on 26.12.2019 in CRM-M-54302-2019—Harnek Singh Vs. State of Punjab and in CRM-M-54536-2019—Gurjinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab, wherein this Court has granted interim protection to the co-accused.

Heard.

Notice of motion for 12.05.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the even of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Balwinder Singh does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 30.12.2019 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

06.07.2021

Vipin kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No