

103+201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-38441-2021 in/and
CRM-M-55650-2019
Date of Decision:15.11.2021**

Anil alias Lila

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

CRM-38441-2021

Vide this application, the petitioner in the accompanying petition seeks to place on record as Annexure P-6, a copy of a judgment passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jind, on 25.02.2012, wherein the petitioner was an accused in FIR no.38 dated 21.01.2011 registered at Police Station City Jind, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Section 398 and 401 of the IPC.

He next seeks to place on record as Annexure P-7, a copy of a judgment passed by the CJM, Jind, dated 04.10.2012, in the context of another FIR registered against the petitioner (and others), i.e. FIR no.259, dated 14.10.2009, at Police Station Julana, District Jind, alleging therein the commission of various offences.

Last, he seeks to place on record as Annexure P-8, a copy of a memo recorded by the *Moharar* of Police Station City Jind, on 09.11.2021,

stating to the effect that the petitioner (Anil) was acquitted by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, on 09.03.2011, in the context of FIR no.360, dated 15.06.2009, registered at Police Station Julana.

Notice in the application.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice at the asking of the court on behalf of respondent State and does not oppose it, subject to all just exceptions.

Consequently, the application is allowed subject to all just exceptions and the aforesaid Annexures P-6 to P-8, are taken on record.

CRM-M-55650-2019

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'anticipatory bail' under the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C., upon FIR No.251, dated 21.11.2019, having been registered at Police Station Julana, District Jind, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 419/420/467/468/471 of the IPC.

As per the reply filed on behalf of the respondent State, the DSP, Julana, has stated that the petitioner joined investigation and eventually sufficient evidence having been gathered and a supplementary report under Section 173 (8) of the Cr.P.C. has been submitted to the competent court but in view of the fact that there are other criminal cases registered against the petitioner, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

However, it is to be noticed that pursuant to the order of this court dated 15.01.2020, learned counsel appearing for the State on July 09, 2021, had stated, on instructions from ASI Sultan Singh, that the petitioner had joined

investigation and as a matter of fact there was no requirement of his custodial interrogation but that there were three other criminal cases pending against the petitioner.

In my opinion, the petitioner having been acquitted of the charges levelled against him in all the other three FIRs shown to be registered against him, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, the petition is allowed, with the order dated 15.01.2020 made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

November 15, 2021

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES
Whether Reportable : NO