

In virtual Court

CRM-M-55612-2019

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 10.09.2021

1. CRM-M-55612-2019 (O&M)

Parveen Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

2. CRM-M-5267-2020 (O&M)

Sonu

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Puneet Kakkar, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-55612-2019).

Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-5267-2020).

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of above-mentioned two petitions praying for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioners Parveen Kumar and Sonu, in FIR No.558 dated 30.05.2019 under Sections 379, 411, 420, 467, 468, 471, 483, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Chandni Bagh, District Panipat.

Vide order dated 06.01.2020 passed in CRM-M-55612-2019 and the order dated 13.02.2020 passed in CRM-M-5267-2020, petitioners Parveen Kumar and Sonu had been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that as per allegations in the FIR, registered on a secret information, accused Sonu, Rohit @ Bhola and Monu have formed a gang, who purchased the total loss vehicle along with registration number and sold the same to scrap vendor and then by putting the original engine and chasis number on the stolen vehicle, they will sell it.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submit that the petitioners were nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused and nothing is to be recovered from them. It is also submitted that in compliance of the aforesaid orders, the petitioners have joined the investigation and are no more required for further investigation and a period of about 01 year and 08 months has passed and there is no complaint against the petitioners that they have misused the concession of interim anticipatory bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Virender Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioners are no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 06.01.2020 passed in CRM-M-

In virtual Court

CRM-M-55612-2019

-3-

55612-2019 and the order dated 13.02.2020 passed in CRM-M-5267-2020 are made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

**[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE**

10.09.2021
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No