

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55590-2019

Date of decision-02.07.2021

SAROJ RANI AND OTHERS**...Petitioners****Vs.****STATE OF HARYANA****...Respondent****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khubbar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.1098 dated 01.10.2019 under Sections 323, 506, 34 & 326 (added later on) Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station City Thanesar, District Kurukshetra. The petitioners apprehended their arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 26.12.2019, whereby while issuing notice of motion to respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioners. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that initially the FIR was registered under Sections 323, 506 and 34 IPC and subsequently, after a period of 2 months, the offence punishable under Section 326 IPC was added.

Notice of motion for 11.05.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioners shall join the investigation and would come present as and when

called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioners submitted themselves before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioners cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Sushil Kumar does not dispute this fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 26.12.2019 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

02.07.2021

Vipin kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No