

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.110

CWP-24-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.1.2021

Suresh Kumar Gupta and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Antriksh Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have challenged communication dated 4.11.2019 (Annexure P-5), whereby, the request for payment of employees' provident fund and gratuity has been rejected on the ground that part-payment of employees' provident fund had already been made and the balance will be paid after conclusion of the case pending before EPF Tribunal.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the entire service of the petitioners has not been considered while paying aforementioned dues.

However, there is nothing on record to substantiate the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners. The date of appointment is also not pleaded in the writ petition.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw this petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

19.1.2021

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes / No

Yes/ No