

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.54359 of 2019 (O&M)
Decided on: 27.07.2021

Kashmir Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mrs. Amarinder kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0070 dated 14.11.2019, registered under Sections 459/307/34 IPC and 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Tallewal, District Barnala.

The operative part of the order dated 19.12.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...It is contended by counsel for the petitioner that since the case was based upon some misunderstanding between the parties, therefore, now the matter has been amicably settled between the parties.

Notice of motion....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the matter has been settled between the parties. It is further submitted that in pursuance to the order dated 19.12.2019, the petitioner has appeared

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation. It is also submitted that the petitioner has not misused the concession of bail for the last more than 1½ years.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Ranjit Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 19.12.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

27.07.2021

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No