

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-55310-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.10.2021**

Yogender Sandhu and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Ms. Amrita Garg, Advocate, for
Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana,
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

CRM-29928-2021:

Prayer in this application is for preponement of the date of hearing in the main case.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the date of hearing in the main case is preponed to today itself, for consideration.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M-55310-2019:

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.66, dated 07.03.2017, under Sections 406/420/120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Narwana City, Jind, Haryana (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the

society, respondent No.2 has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide the Joint Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by both the parties. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Narwana vide report dated 24.02.2020, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between both the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Ld. Counsel appearing for respondent No.2 also does not dispute the factum of compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Narwana, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **"Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **"Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since respondent No.2 has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.66, dated 07.03.2017, under Sections 406/420/120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Narwana City, Jind, Haryana (Annexure P-1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

27.10.2021

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)

JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No