

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

233

CRWP-2134-2019

Date of decision: 05.08.2021

Monika and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J. (ORAL)

(The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.)

The petitioners, claiming themselves to be aged about 19 and 37 years respectively, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners, being major, performed marriage on 06.12.2019 at Tridev Sanatan Dharam Prachar Samiti (Regd.), Booth No.249, MDC, Sector-4, Panchkula, as per Hindu rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Status report by way of affidavit of Satish Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Head Quarter, Panipat has already been filed in the Registry of this Court on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 which is taken on record.

In the status report, it has been mentioned that during enquiry respondents No. 4 to 7, have voluntarily submitted their

affidavits to the effect that the petitioners have solemnized marriage on 06.12.2019 and they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners and they will not cause any hurt to the petitioners. The petitioners were also joined in enquiry and they made statements to the effect that they solemnized marriage on 06.12.2019 and are now residing at House No.505/1 (1864) at Shakti Nagar near Laxmi Nagar, Delhi and are not residing at Rajiv Colony, Panipat. Copies of affidavits of respondents No.4 to 7 and statements made by the petitioners have also been enclosed with the report.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioners cannot be said to be now having any apprehension of danger to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 as the petitioners are now residing in Delhi.

In view of the above, no further action is required to be taken on the petition which is disposed of as having become infructuous.

05.08.2021

Vinay

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No