

120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-53603-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.03.2021**

Ranjan Prakash

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Praveen Gupta, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, D.A.G., Haryana,
for respondent No.1/State.

None for respondent No.2/complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

CRM-8773-2021:

For the reasons mentioned in the application, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/petitioner is permitted to place on record the documents as Annexures P-4 to P-7, subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-8776-2021:

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the prayer for preponement is allowed and the date of hearing in the main case is preponed to today itself for consideration.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M-53603-2019:

In this petition, the petitioner, who is an accused in F.I.R No.98, dated 28.07.2018, under Sections 323, 354, 354-D and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at P.S. Women Police Station, District

Gurugram (Annexure P-1), has prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, respondent No.2 has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Memorandum of Understanding (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by both the parties. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram, vide report dated 03.02.2020, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No. 2 is not present nor she is represented by her counsel. However, she has made a statement on 29.01.2020, before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram, whereby she has admitted the factum of compromise with the accused and deposed that the compromise has been effected between them voluntarily and without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

[4]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since respondent No.2/complainant has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R

No.98, dated 28.07.2018, under Sections 323, 354, 354-D and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at P.S. Women Police Station, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioner.

25.03.2021

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)

JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No