

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-52884-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.07.2021

Sanjay Mittal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Lakshay Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as per his instructions, a demand draft in the sum of Rs.50,000/- has been handed over by the petitioner to the Investigating Officer, who in turn has given it to the complainant.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Krishan Kumar concedes this fact, further stating petitioner is not required by the police for effecting any further recovery and his custodial interrogation is not required. Copy of the receipt executed by complainant Rakesh Kumar and his statement recorded in that regard submitted by learned State counsel be made part of the record.

It being so, the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 11.12.2019 is made absolute, subject to the following

conditions:-

- 1.that the petitioner shall make himself available for investigation by a police officer as and when required;
- 2.that he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
- 3.that he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.
- 4.that he shall surrender his passport before the Investigating Officer and if he is not having passport, then, shall file the affidavit in that regard.

In case, the petitioner violates any terms & conditions, on which the bail has been granted to him, the prosecution would be entitled to apply for cancellation of bail.

The petition stands allowed accordingly.

09.07.2021

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No