

**CRM-5873-2021 and
CRM-5877-2021 in/and
CRM-M-53147-2019
Date of Decision: 02.03.2021**

Satnam Singh alias Kala and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioners.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate, for respondent no. 2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

CRM-5873-2021

By this application, advancement of the date of hearing in the accompanying petition is sought which otherwise stands adjourned to 28.05.2021, with learned counsel submitting that in fact the matter having been settled between the parties, even the statements in respect of the compromise reached have been recorded before the trial court.

It is in fact seen that the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala, dated 24.02.2020, is already on record.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of the court, Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent no. 1-State, with Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate, appearing on behalf of respondent no. 2 and accepting notice.

In view of the above facts, with both learned counsel for the respondents not objecting to the date of hearing being advanced to today itself, the application is allowed and the date of hearing fixed in the accompanying petition is advanced to today itself.

CRM-M-53147-2019

By this petition, the petitioners seek quashing, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between them and respondent no. 2, of FIR no. 113 dated 06.10.2018, registered at Police Station Sri Hargobindpur, District Batala, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 326/323/34 of the IPC, as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom. A copy of the compromise deed has been annexed as Annexure P-3 with the petition.

Pursuant to the order dated 12.12.2019, as per the aforesaid report of the learned JMIC, respondent no. 2, Harjit Kaur, as also the petitioners, i.e. Satnam Singh @ Kala, Jaswinder Kaur and Mandeep Kaur, appeared before that court and stated that they have settled the matter amicably between them; and as per the statement of the investigating officer, they have not been declared to be proclaimed offenders.

The separate statements of the petitioners as also of respondent no. 2-Harjeet Kaur, have been annexed along with the report of the learned Magistrate.

Upon specific query to learned counsel for respondent no. 2, he submits that there is no other person against whom respondent no. 2 is aggrieved in any manner qua the FIR registered at her instance, and consequently, she has no objection to the FIR itself being quashed.

In view of the above, looking at the nature of offences, with the matter having been amicably settled between the parties, the petition is allowed and FIR no. 113 dated 06.10.2018, registered at Police Station Sri Hargobindpur, District Batala, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 326/323/34 of the IPC, as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, is hereby quashed.

March 02, 2021
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.