

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-52833-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.08.2021

Dhanraj @ Dhan Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate
for the Petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the Respondent/State.

Mr. Gaurav Kathuria, Advocate
for the Complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

CRM-M-52833-2019

Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner has not joined the Video Conferencing. He, thereafter on being contacted telephonically and with reference to the previous order passed on 26th July, 2021, submits that he has verified from the Petitioner that no prior Legal Notice to the Complainant before filing Civil Suit for Cancellation of the Agreement was issued.

2. In this view of the matter, this Court has no reason to believe the Petitioner's submission that he had already returned the consideration amount of Rs.32.00 lacs to the Complainant since firstly, he was unable to

place on record any document showing return of such huge amount of money, and thereafter could not even show a preliminary *bona fide* conduct on his part before filing the Civil Suit of having notified the Complainant of his intention to cancel the Sale Agreement in a situation when he had already sold the property, in question, to a third party. Such conduct of the Petitioner would not appear to entitle him to the extraordinary relief of concession of Anticipatory Bail.

3. Consequently, the present Petition is dismissed after recalling the interim protection earlier granted to the Petitioner.

CRM-21919-2021

Ld. Counsel for the Applicant/Complainant submits that since the original bail petition has already been dismissed, he does not wish to press the present application, which is, therefore, stands disposed off as such.

August 23, 2021
Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No