

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(1) **CRM-M-52429-2019**
Date of decision:13.08.2021
Jasvir Kaur
...Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab
.....Respondent

(2) **CRM-M-6306-2020**
Jagdev Singh
...Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab
.....Respondent

(3) **CRM-M-3102-2020**
Gurjot Singh
...Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab
.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Inderpal Singh Parmar, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
in CRM-M-52429-2019 and CRM-M-3102-2020.

Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner in
CRM-M-6306-2020.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This order shall dispose of above-mentioned three petitions, as
the same arise out of the one and the same FIR.

The petitioners seek anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR
No.121 dated 03.11.2019 registered under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC, at
Police Station Payal, District Ludhiana.

Petitioner Jasvir Kaur is the daughter of the complainant, whereas petitioners-Jagdev Singh and Gurjot Singh, are the son-in-law and grandson of the complainant.

Vide orders dated 09.12.2019, 13.02.2020 and 24.01.2020 passed by this Court, the petitioners were granted *ad-interim* anticipatory bail and directed to join the investigation.

Learned State counsel points out that the complainant is none else but the father of petitioner-Jasvir Kaur; father-in-law of petitioner-Jagdev Singh and grandfather of petitioner-Gurjot Singh, whose hard earned money had been usurped by the petitioners, under the guise and false assurance that they would be purchasing the land in the name of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the petitioner along with her husband Jagdev Singh and their son had persuaded the complainant to hand over them a sum of Rs.57,30,000/- on 25.09.2017 with an assurance to get some land purchased in his name or to return the same by 24.03.2019. The aforesaid amount had been arranged by the complainant by selling the land measuring 9 bighas and 11 biswas situated in Village Katahari, District Ludhiana. However, neither any land was purchased by the accused in the name of the complainant nor the said amount was returned. Instead, accused Jagdev Singh in connivance with the co-accused, had purchased the land in Uttar Pradesh in his name.

Learned counsel for the complainant further submits that the complainant is an old man, who having been duped by his own daughter, son-in-law and the grandson, has been spending the evening of his life on the road-side as a street-vendor and is dependent upon Gurudwara Sahib

for his ends meal.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Though this Court, had granted the concession of ad-interim bail to the petitioners vide orders dated 09.12.2019, 13.02.2020 and 24.01.2020, yet after taking into consideration the factual position as narrated above, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioners do not deserve such concession any longer. The petitioners in connivance with each other, have cheated the complainant, an old and infirm person. The petitioners can be heard alleging false implication at the hands of others, but they cannot maintain such allegations against a person, who is so closely related to them, and has no axe to grind. If the petitioners are granted the concession of anticipatory bail, then the very foundation of fiduciary relationship would get shattered. Thus, this Court is not inclined to grant concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

In view of the above, finding no merit in the present petitions, the same are hereby dismissed.

13.08.2021
ishwar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No