

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.52424 of 2019 (O&M)
Decided on: 16.09.2021

Daljit Singh @ Titu Chand

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Salil Dev Bali, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR
No.295 dated 11.10.2019, registered under Sections 420, 34 IPC at
Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala.

The operative part of the order dated 09.12.2019, vide
which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is
reproduced as under:-

*“...Learned Counsel for the petitioner has
submitted that a false case has been registered against the
petitioner after undue and unexplained delay of about
three years. The petitioner did not receive the alleged
amount and did not retain passport of any person.
Complainant-Sunil, whose passport is alleged to have
been retained by the petitioner, has already gone abroad.
The petitioner is ready to join the investigation.*

Notice of motion....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 09.12.2019, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Reply by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, PBI, Special Crime Economic Offences and Cyber Crime, Kapurthala, is taken on record.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Paramjit Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation. It is submitted that the petitioner has not surrendered is passport.

In reply, counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will hand over his passport to the Investigating Officer.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 09.12.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

16.09.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No