

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CM-18546-CWP-2021 in/ and
CWP No.32809 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.12.2021

Nachhater Singh

..... Petitioner

V/s.

State of Haryana And Another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Yesh Paul Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Lisa Gill, J. (Oral)

CM-18546-CWP-2021

Replication to the short reply filed by respondent No.2, is taken on record, subject to just exceptions.

Application is accordingly disposed of.

CM-15186-CWP-2021

This is an application filed under Section 151 CPC for preponement of the hearing of the case from 01.02.2022.

For the reasons mentioned in the application and arguments addressed, the same is allowed and writ petition is taken on board for hearing today itself.

Main Case

Petitioner filed this writ petition in December, 2018 being aggrieved of action of respondent-Commission in not considering the petitioner's candidature for appointment to the post of PGT (Punjabi). A direction to respondent No.2 was also

sought to permit the petitioner to participate in the interview for the said post, being fully eligible. It is stated that pursuant to issuance of advertisement No.04/2015, category No.15, dated 19.09.2015, the petitioner applied for appointment to the post of PGT (Punjabi) in the General category. It is submitted that the petitioner was fully eligible. He qualified the written examination, however, the petitioner was not called for interview and on inquiry, he came to know that as petitioner's Haryana Teacher Eligibility Test (HTET) result had not been revised at that stage, he was not called for interview. Accordingly, the present petition was filed.

The petitioner was interviewed provisionally under orders of this Court and it was directed that result of the petitioner be kept in sealed cover. Result of the petitioner has been produced in sealed cover today. The same has been opened and perused. The petitioner is revealed to have secured 102 marks in the written examination and 20 marks in the interview i.e. a total of 122 marks. It is further mentioned that the petitioner is not eligible. The result is returned to the official concerned.

Learned counsel for the State informs that the last person selected in the General category has secured 126 marks. Keeping in view the marks secured by the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to deny that adjudication in the present writ petition shall be purely academic.

Present writ petition is accordingly, disposed of with no expression of opinion on any of the issues raised by the petitioner in this writ petition.

Pending application(s), if any, are disposed of accordingly.

14th December, 2021

Sonia Puri

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No