

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(201)

CRM-M-51947-2019

Date of Decision : November 08, 2021

Manjit Kaur

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajiv Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 234 dated 15.11.2018 registered under Sections 379 and 420 IPC at Police Station Sarabha Nagar, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has joined investigation in terms of order passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court dated 06.12.2019. Order dated 06.12.2019 is as under:-

“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.234 dated 15.11.2018 under Sections 379,420 IPC registered at P.S Sarabha Nagar, District Ludhiana.

As per the allegations, petitioner is alleged to have offered the lift to the complainant and her husband in their car and forcibly taken away one of the two old bangles of the complainant by cutting it with sharp edged weapon and after throwing the complainant and her husband out of the car, fled away from the spot in their car.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a false case has been planted upon the petitioner and that the contents of the FIR is highly improbable and that the petitioner has become victim of mistaken identity.

Notice of motion for 03.2.2020.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. The petitioner is also directed to join the investigation and cooperate with the Investigating Agency, as and when required.”

Learned State counsel, who is present in the Court, on instructions from ASI Pardip Kumar, states that in terms of the order of this Court reproduced before, the petitioner has joined the investigation and no further interrogation is required at this stage.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that petitioner will join investigation and also cooperate with the investigating agency in case she is required for the same in future as well.

In view of the above, the order dated 06.12.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. She shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

In case at any given point of time hereinafter, it is felt by the investigating agency that petitioner is required for the investigation but is not cooperating, they will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders.

The petition stands disposed of.

November 08, 2021
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No