

Poonam Kataria

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Harish Mehla, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 6.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.(ORAL)

Learned State counsel has referred to the reply and submits that there is no threat perception to the petitioners. He further submits that the petitioners and the private respondents are neighbourers and they have levelled various allegations against each other from time to time.

Confronted with the same, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per learned State counsel, there may not be any threat perception as on date but there is every likelihood of threat perception in near future. Given above, learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh in case any threat perception arises.

Consequently, nothing survives in the present petition and is disposed of as having been withdrawn with liberty to learned counsel for the petitioners to file fresh petition by showing substantive reasons for the same. However, it shall be open for the petitioners to approach this Court again in case of any threat perception or to inform the concerned SHO.

It is clarified that withdrawal/disposal of the present petition will not come in the way of filing of fresh petition.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**December 10, 2021**

Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No