

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 207 (2)

CRM-M-51635-2019

Date of decision : 25.10.2021

Sarabjit Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.N.S.Mahal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.B.S.Bhalla, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.120 dated 02.11.2019 registered under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Ajitwal, District Moga.

On 05.12.2019 this Court passed the following order: -

“Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.120 dated 02.11.2019 registered under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Ajitwal, District Moga.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that FIR regarding alleged occurrence, which took place in the year 2013, was registered with inordinate delay of 6 years in 2019. The petitioner is not involved in any other case. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, D.A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to the learned State Counsel, who seeks time to complete his instructions.

Adjourned to 03.02.2020.

Notice to respondents No.2 be issued for that date and be also given dasti, if so desired.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within 15 days from today by reporting before the concerned SHO/Investigation Officer of the case. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail by the arresting officer/investigating officer on furnishing of bail bonds by him to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/investigating officer. The petitioner shall comply with the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. failing which he shall forfeit the benefit of interim bail allowed to him.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore
quoted order the petitioner has joined the investigation; has cooperated with
the investigating agency; investigation in this case is complete and that the
petitioner's custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In view of the above, the order of this Court dated 05.12.2019,
granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

25.10.2021
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No