

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM No.13843 of 2021 in/and
CRM-M-51679 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 28, 2021

Harnam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Amit Khari, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

CRM-13843-2021

This is an application that has been filed for preponing the hearing in the main case, which is now listed for 10.09.2021.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Hearing in the main case is preponed and the same is taken up for hearing today.

CRM-M-51679-2019

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.228 dated 17.05.2019, under Section 22-C of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station City Tohana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner

herein has been in custody in the present case since 17.05.2019. It is argued that the trial of the case will take sufficient time, as the examination of PWs has not yet commenced. It is submitted that co-accused has already been allowed regular bail by this court by an order dated 21.09.2020 passed in CRM-M-10504-2020.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner. However, he does not dispute the fact that co-accused has already been allowed regular bail by this court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the facts that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 17.05.2019, it will take sufficient time to conclude the trial and that co-accused has already been allowed regular bail by this court, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, anything observed or said by this court is only for the purpose of deciding the instant petition for grant of regular bail and the same shall have no affect on the merits of the case.

May 28, 2021
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No