

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-51507-2019
Date of decision: 23.09.2021**

Pardeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Vikas Kuthiala, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Harish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondent No. 2/complainant.

(Through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.408 dated 28.08.2019 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC registered at P.S City Gohana, District Sonapat.

The operative part of the order dated 04.12.2019, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner points out that his brother-Parveen Kumar has since been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 29.11.2019 passed in CRM-M-50807 of 2019.

Notice of motion for 28.1.2020.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 04.12.2019, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Navneet, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 04.12.2019, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

23.09.2021

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No