

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

234

**CRWP-1447-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.08.2021**

Sapna @ Zara

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Abdul Aziz, Advocate for
Mr. Mohd. Yusaf, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, APP U.T. Chandigarh.
(respondents No. 1 to 3).

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Prayer in the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of the private respondents.

Learned APP U.T. Chandigarh submits that an inquiry regarding the residential status of the petitioner was conducted by the concerned SHO and it was found that the petitioner has never resided at the given address.

At this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not want to press the present petition and wishes to withdraw the same.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

10.08.2021

Mangal Singh

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No