

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1265-2019

DATE OF DECISION: NOVEMBER 16, 2021

SOHRAAB & ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: NONE FOR THE PETITIONERS.

MR. SURENDER SINGH, AAG, HARYANA.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 10, who are against the marriage of the petitioners.

Reply by way of affidavit of Virender Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Firozpur Jhirka, District Nuh has been filed by learned State counsel wherein it has been stated as under:-

“2. That the local police, after having received the notice of the present petition had joined the petitioners as well as the respondents in the enquiry to ascertain the genuineness of apprehension of threat expressed in the present petition. The statements of the petitioners as well as that of the respondents No.4 to 6 were recorded. It has transpired that the petitioners, having married each other are staying in Village Joriya Meo, Tehsil Tijara, District Alwar as husband and wife. As per the contention of the petitioners, they have got registered their marriage in the year 2018 at Tijara. The petitioners

contend that they now want to live in their Village Hasanpur. The petitioners have stated in their statement made to the police that as of now they are not having any apprehension of threat whatsoever and further that whenever the petitioners shall move to Village Hasanpur, they shall intimate the local police.

3. That on the other hand the respondents have stated in their respective statements that they have no objection if the petitioners start residing at Village Hasanpur. The respondent No.6 Sahjad S/o Mohammad Khan could not been ascertained with the enquiry, as he is stated to be living with his family in Hyderabad.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute this fact and submits that in view of the statements of petitioners, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

November 16, 2021
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No