

204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-50938-2019
Date of decision-22.07.2021

MANJEET KAUR

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. I.P.S. Kohli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.195 dated 08.11.2019 under Sections 406, 420 & 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 & under Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act 2014 registered at Police Station Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab. The petitioner apprehended her arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 02.12.2019, whereby while issuing notice of motion to respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations made in the FIR are vague and

lack necessary particulars. He further points out that the concession of interim pre-arrest bail was extended to the petitioner and finally the application was dismissed on the ground that she failed to join the investigation within a period of 4 days granted by the Court.

Notice of motion for 23.4.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted herself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Rajinder Singh does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and she is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 02.12.2019 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

22.07.2021

Vipin kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes No
Yes No