

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-50785-2019

DATE OF DECISION: JULY 30, 2021

SHASHANK BHAPKAR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: NONE FOR THE PETITIONER.

KANWAR SANJIV KUMAR, AAG, HARYANA.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.161 dated 10.3.2016 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC, Police Station Camp Palwal, who apprehends arrest at the hands of police.

The allegation contained in the FIR as noticed by the Addl. Sessions Judge, Palwal in the order dated 24.7.2018, reads as under:-

“This case was registered on a complaint made by Sunder Singh son of Shri Rampal. That after investigation complaint No.CMOFF/N/2016/007649, dated 8.2.2010 was received from DSP, HQ Palwal, wherein it was alleged that they invested money in Sai Parshad Group of Companies, Branch at Palwal, as in the year 2001 the said company deals in the work of land. The company used to purchase the land from the money invested by them. The customers had deposited the amount with the company in various scheme of the company of signature of the customers were obtained and said company issued Policy Bond to the customers

and also issued receipts from time to time. The said company has now been closed by the Govt. completely and case against this company is pending in Hon'ble Mumbai High Court. Due to that reason, a fear is in the mind of customers and many customers are threatening to commit suicide and to get register false FIR. A request for taking necessary action against the accused was made.”

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from Inspector Satyanarayan has stated that the petitioner is involved in number of cases in different States and is presently in custody. He has further produced the details of Nine cases which are pending against the petitioner.

No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner and even on the last date of hearing, no one represented him and this shows complete lack of interest in this petition.

The petitioner already stands arrested in other cases. Therefore, no case is made out for extending extra ordinary concession of anticipatory bail to him.

Petition is dismissed.

July 30, 2021
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No