

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

**CRM-M-50103-2019(O&M)
Decided on : 09.09.2021**

ROSY

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

U. T. CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER

. . . Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL
(Through Video Conferencing)**

PRESENT: Mr. Sukhjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, APP UT Chandigarh.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

By way of present petition filed under Section 439(2) CrPC for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted by the Additional Sessions Judge, UT Chandigarh to respondent No.2, vide order dated 17.09.2019 (Annexure P-5) in case FIR No.93 dated 03.08.2019 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 406 and 498-A of the IPC, registered at Women Police Station, Sector 17, Chandigarh.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and perusing the averments made in the petition, no ground for cancellation of bail is made out, as the impugned order does not reflect any illegality, much less, perversity. It would be relevant to observe that the Court has to be extremely circumspect while cancelling bail, which may have been granted to an accused. Learned counsel has failed to bring to the notice of this Court any supervening circumstances, subsequent to the grant of bail to the

respondent-accused, vide order dated 17.09.2019, which would reflect that the liberty granted to the respondent-accused has been misused by him. Simply because dowry articles allegedly given at the time of marriage to the petitioner do not stand recovered completely, would not be sufficient enough reason to set aside the impugned order.

Dismissed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 09, 2021
S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No