

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202

**CWP-34106-2019(O&M)
Date of Order:11.01.2021**

BIRBHAN SINGLA

..Petitioner

Versus

**REGISTRAR GENERAL FIRMS AND SOCIETIES
HARYANA AND OTHERS**

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate
for respondent no.5.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing,
on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The petitioner has challenged the correctness of order passed by
the State Registrar of Societies, Haryana, on 29.10.2019, reviewing of its
own order, dated 25.10.2019. The State Registrar had passed an order
dated 25.10.2019, while deciding an appeal filed by the petitioner. After a
period of 4 days, on a review application, filed by Mr. Vinod Grover, the
same was reviewed.

Learned counsel, for the petitioner, contends that the order
dated 29.10.2019, is without issuing any notice or grant of opportunity to the
petitioner. He, further, contends that the State Registrar has no power to
review the order unless he seeks permission in this regard from the Registrar

General.

Sh. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate, enters appearance on behalf of respondent no.5 and states that he has no objection, if the State Registrar is directed to re-decide the review application, after granting opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

Sh. Rohit Arya, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, has also has no objection to the aforesaid course being adopted.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the order dated 29.10.2019, is set aside. The State Registrar of Societies, Haryana, is directed to re-decide the review application, within a period of 15 days from today.

Needless to observe that if as per the provisions of the Act or the rules, some permission is required to be sought from the Registrar General before passing order in the review petition, the same shall also be sought for.

Disposed of accordingly.

This court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the State Registrar shall be at liberty to re-decide the review application, in accordance with the law.

January 11, 2021
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No