

CRM-M-49371-2019

Date of Decision: 08.03.2021.

Bhupinder Singh alias Bhupi Rana
vs.

...Petitioner

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. K.S Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Although, prayer in the petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C., is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.89 dated 16.04.2018, registered under Section 302 of IPC, (Sections 148, 149, 120-B and 216 of IPC were added later on) and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula, learned Sr. Counsel, assisted by Shri Satbir Rathore, Advocate, states that the petitioner does not press the instant petition and would be satisfied, if directions are issued to the learned trial Court to conclude the trial expeditiously.
3. The same is not opposed by the learned Addl. AG, Haryana.
4. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is disposed of as not pressed while directing the learned trial Court to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

08.03.2021.

'Rajesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No