

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 50002 of 2019 (O&M)
Decided on: 01.09.2021

Simranjit Kaur @ Simranjeet Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. S.K. Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.96 dated 21.08.2019, registered under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Sadar Kotkapura, District Faridkot.

The operative part of the order dated 26.11.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...It is contended by the counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is not involved in crime, as alleged against her. Even as per the allegation, the complainant intended to go abroad by marrying a girl who was already settled outside India. Even as per the allegations of the complainant, the sister of the petitioner had come from Singapore to get married with the complainant. The marriage is even stated to have been solemnized and consummated. Thereafter, as per the allegations, the marriage has gone wrong and complainant could not go

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

abroad. In this entire story the petitioner is nowhere in picture. Unfortunately the parents of the petitioner had got separated way back in the year 1990. Since then the petitioner was residing with her mother at Moga, till the time of her marriage; and separate from her sister Mehakpreet Kaur. Therefore, the petitioner has no connection with the incident or the crime as such.

Notice of motion for 31.03.2020....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 26.11.2019, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation. It is also submitted that from the last 02 years, the petitioner has not misused the concession of bail.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Satpal Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation. It is also submitted that challan stands presented before the trial Court.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 26.11.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

01.09.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No