

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

202

CRM-M-49204-2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision: September 13, 2021

INDERPREET SINGH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manoj Tanwar, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.174 dated 16.09.2019, under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code ('IPC' - for short), registered at Police Station Goindwal Sahib, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the matter is of civil in nature and it is alleged that the petitioner had taken money from the complainant for sending his son abroad. He also contends that Visa has been granted and the tickets have been purchased but the son of the complainant chose not to go abroad.

Learned counsel for the complainant, however, contends that although the petitioner has stated in the petition that he is ready to return the amount after deducting the expenses incurred in getting the visa and tickets but he has not made any payment till date.

Learned State counsel states that although the petitioner has joined the investigation in terms of the order of the Coordinate Bench of this Court but recovery is yet to be made and the money was paid in his account by the complainant.

In view of the serious allegations against the petitioner of having taken money from the complainant for sending his son abroad, I do not deem it appropriate to grant him the concession of anticipatory bail especially when the petitioner has not returned any amount even though he had stated so in the petition.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 13, 2021
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No