

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.206

Civil Revision No.7113 of 2019

CHARANJIT SINGH

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

2.

Civil Revision No.8321 of 2019

KASHMIR SINGH AND ANOTHER

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

3.

Civil Revision No.8273 of 2019

JASWINDER KAUR @ JASPINDER KAUR AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

4.

Civil Revision No.237 of 2020

JIWANPAL SINGH AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CR No.7113 of 2019 and connected matters

5. Civil Revision No.389 of 2020

JARNAIL SINGH AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

6. Civil Revision No.81 of 2020

DARSHAN SINGH

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

7. Civil Revision No.177 of 2020

SURJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

8. Civil Revision No.85 of 2021

**GRAM PANCHAYAT VILLAGE MAKROR SAHIB, TEHSIL
MOONAK DISTT. SANGRUR**

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

DATE OF DECISION: March 04, 2021

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of aforementioned eight cases as the common questions of facts and law are involved therein. The facts are being taken from CR No.7113 of 2019.

The land of the petitioner was acquired by the State of Punjab for embankment and widening of Ghaggar river. Notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 was issued on 20.11.2006. The Award of the Collector was challenged before the reference Court which dismissed all the references vide order dated 15.12.2010. Regular First Appeals were filed against the said order and this Court vide judgment dated 27.01.2016 enhanced the compensation. Thereafter, the petitioner sought execution of said judgment which was disposed of as partly satisfied vide order dated 08.12.2018. Second execution application No.176 of 2019 dated 25.02.2019 registered on 27.02.2019 is stated to be still pending.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the other connected execution petitions stand satisfied and thus, a direction needs to be given to the Executing Court to decide the pending execution petition of the petitioner also within a time bound manner.

CR No.7113 of 2019 and connected matters

~~4~~

Learned State counsel has no objection in case such an order is passed.

Accordingly, the revision petitions are allowed and the concerned Executing Court is directed to decide the pending execution petitions expeditiously and in any case not later than four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

March 04, 2021

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No