

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-47229-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.10.2021

Ravinder Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. G.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate,
for the applicants/petitioners.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab,
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. T.P.S. Bawa, Advocate,
for respondent No.2/Complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

CRM-33728-2021

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the prayer for preponement is allowed and the date of hearing in the main appeal is preponed to today itself for consideration.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M-47229-2019

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.10, dated 02.02.2017, under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code read with Section 66(D) of the Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Division No.6, Jalandhar (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide

Compromise (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Chief Judicial Magistrate (NRI Court), Jalandhar, vide report dated 29.04.2021, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No.2 is represented by his Counsel, who does not dispute the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate (NRI Court), Jalandhar, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.10, dated 02.02.2017, under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code read with Section 66(D) of the Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Division No.6, Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

12.10.2021

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
2. Whether reportable: No