

115

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.31899 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:27.07.2021

Sanjay Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited and others

....Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: - Mr.Jaspal Singh Maanipur, Advocate, and
Ms.Joy Preet Meelu, Advocate,
for the applicants/petitioners.

Ms.Swati Batra, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr.Arvind Sethi, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

CM No.9172-CWP of 2021

Present application has been filed seeking preponement of the date of hearing of the main writ petition i.e. CWP No.31899 of 2019, which is now stands adjourned to 13.09.2019.

Notice in the application to the counsel opposite.

Ms.Swati Batra, Advocate and Mr. Arvind Sethi, Advocate, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2, respectively and raise no objection for preponing the date of hearing of the writ petition.

On the basis of joint request, application is allowed and writ petition is taken up for hearing today.

Application is accordingly disposed of.

CWP No.31899 of 2019

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are not being allowed to join their duty on the post of Grid Sub Station Operator (GSO) despite being selected on the said post only on the ground that the qualification, on the basis of which the petitioners had competed for, is not being taken into consideration as eligible qualification to appoint the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners have served upon the respondents a detailed legal notice dated 19.8.2019 (Annexure P-8) giving out his side as to how, the qualification which the petitioners have, duly makes them eligible for appointment and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.1 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection with regard to the prayer of the petitioners for deciding legal notice dated 19.8.2019 (Annexure P-8), if not already decided, by respondent No.1 in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 19.8.2019 (Annexure P-8), respondent No.1 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 19.8.2019 (Annexure P-8) by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, if the said legal notice has already not been decided by the

authorities. In case, the said legal notice has already been decided by the authorities, the order so passed, be conveyed to the petitioners expeditiously preferably within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

27.07.2021

Vivek

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No