

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

101

CRM-M-45715-2019

Date of Decision: 14.09.2021

Jamil Khan and others

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Gurmohan Singh Bedi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Additional Advocate General,
Punjab for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No.2/complainant.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. for grant of pre-arrest bail to petitioners in complaint No.2/2018 dated 07.02.2018 titled "Darshan Singh Vs. Jamil Khan and others" wherein vide order dated 17.08.2019 (Annexure P-2), petitioners have been summoned to face trial for the commission of offence punishable under Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and Section 506 IPC.

Despite the fact case has been called out twice, there is no representation on behalf of respondent No.2/complainant. It is pertinent to mention here that on the last date of hearing as well, nobody had put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2- complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that matter in question was thoroughly inquired into by Deputy Superintendent of Police,

Samra, who vide his report dated 24.03.2018 (Annexure P-3) found favour

in the cause of petitioners specifically mentioning therein that nothing had come out against the petitioners in respect of complaint moved by Darshan Singh (respondent No.2/complainant herein). He further submits that interim pre-arrest bail was granted to petitioners by this Court vide order dated 24.10.2019 with a direction to petitioners to join the proceedings before Trial Court and then they would be released on bail on furnishing their personal/surety bonds. He further submits that in compliance of abovesaid order, petitioners had put in appearance before the Trial Court on 29.10.2019 and they furnished their personal/surety bonds which were accepted and attested and they were released on bail.

Learned State counsel submits that compliance of order dated 24.10.2019 has already been made by the petitioners on 29.10.2019.

In view of above, order dated 24.10.2019 is made absolute.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

14.09.2021

D. Gulati

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No