

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RFA No.4289 of 2018(O&M)

Date of decision: 10.11.2021

M.D. Haryana State Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation,
Panchkula and another

...Appellant(s)

Versus

Umed Singh and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ashwani Kumar Chopra, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Vidul Kapoor, Advocate
Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate
for the HSIIDC in Regular First Appeals.

Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate
for the HSIIDC in Civil Writ Petitions.

Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate
Mr. Kulvir Narwal, Advocate
Mr. B.S. Saroha, Advocate

Mr. Narender Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Balkar Singh, Advocate

Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate

Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate
for the landowners.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

CM-9907-CI of 2018

For the reasons stated in the application, same is allowed and
delay of 628 days in filing the appeal, stands condoned. However, the
landowners shall not be entitled to interest for the period of delay.

Main case

For orders, see order of even date passed in **Regular First Appeal**
No.1625 of 2016, titled as **Nafe Singh (Deceased) through his LRs and others**
Vs. State of Haryana and others.

10.11.2021
joyti

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No