

CRWP-12272-2021

Date of Decision : 29.12.2021

Sankalp Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Manoj Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ for directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 8 etc.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioners are of marriageable age as petitioner No.1 is more than 23 years of age as is evident from Aadhar Card, Annexure P/1 wherein his date of birth is recorded as 13.01.1998, while petitioner No.2 is 21 years of age as per Matriculation Examination Certificate, issued by the Punjab School Education Board, Annexure P/2 in which her date of birth is recorded as 23.12.2000. Learned counsel contends that the petitioners fulfill the conditions under the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 so as to entitle them to get married, consequently, on account of mutual liking for each other, the petitioners got married on 23.12.2021, as is evident from Marriage

Certificate, Annexure P/3 dated 23.12.2021, besides, marriage photographs, Annexure P/4 without any fraud, force, pressure, coercion or undue influence though against the wishes of respondent Nos. 4 to 8 who are threatening them with harm to their life and liberty, therefore, the petitioners submitted representation Annexure P/5 dated 23.12.2021 to respondent No. 2 i.e. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, District Kapurthala seeking protection of their life and liberty but no action has been taken in respect thereto till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioners would be satisfied if the petition is disposed of by directing respondent No. 2 to consider and decide representation Annexure P/5 in accordance with law, in a time bound manner and to provide protection to them.

3. Notice of motion to respondent No. 2 only.

4. Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 2 and on perusal of the petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. Accordingly, in view of the decision of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Lata Singh vs. State of UP and others, JT 2006 (6) SC, 173** but without commenting upon the age of the petitioners, validity of their marriage or the authenticity of the documents attached with the petition, the petition is disposed of by directing respondent No. 2 i.e. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, District Kapurthala to consider and decide representation, Annexure P/5 dated 23.12.2021, submitted by the petitioners in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and take such action in respect thereto as may be warranted keeping in view the threat

not bar any civil or criminal proceedingsinitiated against the petitioners
inaccordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

29.12.2021
amit

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No