

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.43556 of 2019(O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.09.2021
(Heard through VC)**

Ranvir Singh

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Amit Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Tanveer S.Grewal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.50 dated 03.06.2017 registered under 498-A, 406 Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

2. The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-respondent No.2 on the allegations of harassment and ill-treatment meted out to his daughter at the hands of accused-petitioner on account of demand of dowry. Quashing of the FIR had originally been sought in this case on merits. However, during pendency of the petition, the parties came to a settlement before the Mediation Centre at Sangrur having been referred by the Sessions Judge, Sangrur. The parties amicably resolved their disputes and differences

and entered into a written settlement there.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sangrur stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

4. Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent Nos.2 and 3 admit the factum of compromise. Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

6. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

7. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC**

466, this petition is allowed and of FIR No.50 dated 03.06.2017 registered under 498-A, 406 Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioner.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

September 13, 2021
P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No