

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

**CRM-M-54292-2021
Date of Decision : 23.12.2021**

Sukhpal Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 6 to conclude the investigation in FIR No.266, dated 31.07.2020 registered under Sections 116 and 34 of the IPC (Sections 115, 198, 199 and 120-B of the IPC added later on) at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur, as expeditiously as possible and submit the report before the Competent Court of law as non-submission of the same is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State. He submits that he has instructions to undertake before this Court that within a period of next eight weeks, the appropriate report, as envisaged under Section 173 Cr.P.C., will

be submitted before the Competent Court of law in respect of FIR No.266, dated 31.07.2020 registered under Sections 116 and 34 of the IPC (Sections 115, 198, 199 and 120-B of the IPC added later on) at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur,

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Disposed of as having been not pressed.

It is made clear that in case of failure of the respondents to adhere to the undertaking recorded above, a serious view will be taken.

December 23, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No