

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CWP No.29232 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.02.2021

Nadeem Ahmed

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the grievance of the petitioner as raised in the present writ petition, has already been redressed as the name of the petitioner has already been recommended for the post of PGT (History) vide letter dated 01.12.2020.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that an appropriate action needed to be taken by the respondent-State in pursuance to the recommendation dated 01.12.2020 will be taken within a period of six weeks from today and in case, the petitioner is found entitled for the appointment on the basis of the said recommendation, the same will be extended to the petitioner as well.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned State counsel, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed and he does not press the present writ

petition any further and the same may be disposed of as such.

Present writ petition is disposed of having been not pressed in view of the statement of the learned State counsel recorded hereinabove.

C.M. No.4501-CWP of 2020

The present application is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

February 11, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No