

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26532-2021

Date of Decision: 23.12.2021

Rajesh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

2. CWP-26641-2021

Bharti

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

Present:- Mr. Ajay Shekhawat, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate for the respondent/HSVP.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

This order shall dispose of **CWP-26532-2021 (Rajesh Versus State of Haryana & others)** and **CWP-26641-2021 (Bharti Versus State of Haryana & others)** as the issue involved in both these petitions is identical.

Briefly, it may be noticed that challenge in both these petitions is to the action of the respondent/HSVP, whereby claim of the petitioners seeking allotment of plot under the oustee quota stands rejected only on the ground that they were not the land owners at the time of issuance of notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act.

Since advance copies of the writ petitions had already been

served upon the respondents, Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of HSVP in both these petitions.

He at the very outset makes a statement that the issue has since been reconsidered and a decision had been taken in principle that L.Rs. of the original land owners would also be considered eligible under the oustee quota. Learned counsel representing HSVP suffers a statement that in the light of such reconsideration, the impugned communication/rejection qua the petitioners in these two petitions would not survive. It is further submitted that in case the petitioners were to apply afresh for allotment of the plot under the oustee quota, their claim would be reconsidered and a decision as per relevant Policy governing allotment under the oustee quota would be taken by passing a speaking order within a period of two months from the date of submission of such fresh claim.

Statement made by Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate is accepted.

No further directions are required to be passed.

Both the writ petitions are disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

23.12.2021

harjeet

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |