

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

147

CRWP-12157-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 23.12.2021

SHITU KUMARI @ ANOTHER

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Arunjeet Singh Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Case taken up through video conferencing.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of private respondents.

It is stated in the petition that the petitioners, who are major, have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation (Annexure P-5) sent by the petitioners to respondent No. 2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda.

Issue notice to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Keeping in view the mandate of the Supreme Court in the case of

“Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173, the

present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2– Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

23.12.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No